WORLEY HIGHWAY DISTRICT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS REGULAR MEETING JUNE 28, 2017 9:00 A.M.

MINUTES

Chairman James Mangan called the meeting to order at 9.00 a.m. with Commissioners George Miller and Dave Johnson present. Also present were Supervisor Kevin Howard, Assistant Supervisor Dustin Howe, Deputy Clerk Susan Kuykendall and;

Darlene Sheldon, Sunny Slopes Road Corinne Johnson, Valhalla Road Jeanne Buell, Sunny Slopes Road Don Richel, Clarkston, Washington Chris Renaldi, St. Maries, Idaho Dennis Davis, Attorney Susan Weeks, Attorney Dennis Desmond, Sunny Slopes Road Scott Poorman, Attorney

Approval of Agenda

George Miller MOVED to approve the agenda as presented. Dave Johnson SECONDED the motion. James Mangan CONCURRED. The motion PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Conflicts of Interest on the Agenda

Chairman James Mangan asked if any Board member had a conflict of interest with any item on the agenda. Commissioners Dave Johnson, James Mangan, and George Miller all indicated no conflicts.

Approval of Minutes

Miller MOVED to approve the minutes of the June 14, 2017 regular meeting. Johnson SECONDED the motion. Mangan CONCURRED. The motion PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Public Hearing - Old Road #20 Validation

Commissioner Mangan: This is the time and place set for the public hearing on the remand of the validation of Road No. 20, now known as Sunny Slopes Road, as it lies in Section 34, Township 47 North, R.4 West. At this time, I will open the public hearing. If you have not signed in, please do so. If you filled out a public hearing sheet and have not turned it in, please turn it in now to our Attorney, Susan Weeks. At this time, I appoint Susan Weeks to act as the Board's hearing Officer. The Board's Deputy Clerk will record the proceedings.

Attorney Weeks: At this time we will take testimony as directed by the District Court pertaining to Sunny Slopes Road in Section 34. We ask you limit your testimony to the creation, existence, maintenance, or abandonment of Road No. 20 as that is the only relevant testimony before the Board today. Testimony needs to be directed to the Board and not to other persons present at the meeting. Mr. Howard will present the supplemental staff report to the Board first. Following Mr. Howard's staff report, the Richel Family Trust, or its representative, Scott Poorman, will be allowed to address the Board. Following that testimony, the applicant Jeanne Buell, or her representative, Dennis Davis, will be given the opportunity to present testimony. If there are others who would like to give testimony after that, they will be given opportunity to do so. Mr. Howard, please proceed with your staff report. For the record, we will admit the staff report in to the record as exhibit Q.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION LOCATION: North ½ of Section 34, Township 47 North, Range 4 West, Boise Meridian, Kootenai Country, Idaho

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Board voted to validate Sunny Slopes Road following a public hearing, and its validation order was executed April 27, 2016. The Richel Family Trust appealed the Board's validation decision on

Page 2

Sunny Slopes Road on May 26, 2016, by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the First Judicial District Court, County of Kootenai. The appeal was assigned to the Hon. Rich Christensen. The Richel Family Trust moved the district court to allow it to augment the record. The District also

petitioned to augment the record. Judge Christensen granted both motions to augment the record and remanded the matter to the Board to conduct another public hearing to hear and consider additional evidence and testimony.

The additional maps requested by the District show Road No. 20 consistent with the previous evidence submitted to the Board in the first map.

The Richel Family Trust evidence will be presented by the attorney for Scott Poorman, with an explanation of its significance.

Following consideration of the new evidence, and the evidence previously submitted, the Commissioners may modify their findings, conclusions and decisions or leave the findings, conclusions and decisions the same. The Board's decision will be filed with the district court. The Richel Family Trust has appealed both components of the validation. The validation covered Road No. 20 (Sunny Slopes Road) as it exists in the Northwest Quarter of Section 34 and the public right of way in the Northeast Quarter of Section 34.

A remand hearing was originally scheduled for May 25, 2017. The Richel Family Trust requested a continuance due to health issues suffered by Don Richel. The Board of Commissioners granted a continuance to June 28, 2017.

PROCESS:

- The Board of Commissioners should continue to view this application as a two-step process on remand:
- o STEP 1: Consider whether the right of way satisfies the legal requirements of a public right of way.

o STEP 2: Determine whether it is in the public interest to validate the right of way.

REVIEW OF APPLICABLE STATE STATUTES:

A. 40-203A

- 1) Based on the application and statements from the applicant this application has expressed belief that a public right-of-way was legally established in the north half of Section 34.
- 2) (a) No survey was done of the right-of-way due to winter conditions. A survey in the Northeast Quarter of Section 34 is recommended if the road is validated in this section to establish the limits of the right-of-way. No survey is recommended in the Northwest Quarter of Section 34 as the road surface is visible and the right of way established.
- (b) This staff report shall serve as a supplement of the prepared report.
- (c) A hearing date was established for February 24, 2016. A remand hearing was scheduled for June 28, 2017 as directed by the District Court.
- (d) The initial hearing was noticed per Idaho Code § 40-203. Notice was provided to the parties through their attorneys on the remand hearing.
- (e) At the hearing, the Commissioners shall consider all information relating to the proceedings and shall accept testimony from persons having an interest in the proposed validation.

 B. 40-203(2)

"No highway or public right-of-way or parts thereof shall be abandoned and vacated so as to leave any real property adjoining the highway or public right-of-way without access to an established highway or public right-of-way. The burden of proof shall be on the impacted property owner to establish this fact."

The abandonment and vacation of the portion of Road No. 20 (Sunny Slopes Road) in the North ½ of the Northwest Quarter of Sec 34 would remove a traveled and maintained portion of the road.

Page 3

The abandonment and vacation of the portion of Road No. 20 right of way within the North $\frac{1}{2}$ of the Northeast Quarter of Section 34 would leave one parcel without access to a right of way. C. 40-202(3)

"Highways laid out, recorded and opened as described in subsection (2) of this section, by order of a Board of Commissioners, and all highways used for a period of five (5) years, provided they shall have been worked and kept up at the expense of the public, or located and recorded by order of a Board of Commissioners, are highways."

The historical records indicate this road was laid out, recorded and opened as prescribed by statute. The road across the Northwest Quarter of Section 34 has been continually maintained by the Worley Highway District and used by the public.

The length of its use across the Northeast Quarter of Section 34 is unknown, however the records reviewed at the Plummer Gateway Highway District indicated it was maintained by Plummer Highway District, which dissolved in 1929.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

- 1. Legal notice was placed in the Coeur d'Alene Press as required by statute.
- 2. A notification was mailed to landowners within 300 feet and those who own land through which the road passes.
- 3. Legal counsel for the Richel Family Trust and Jeanne Buell were provided notice.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

By the date of completion of this supplemental report, no public comment other than the materials approved by the Court on remand were received. Any public comment received prior to the public hearing will be included.

DELIBERATIONS

The Board's task on remand is to hear and consider the new evidence. After doing so, the Board should deliberate if any of the new evidence, changes, amends or alters any previous finding of fact it made, or conclusion of law it reached. The Board then must determine the proper validation order to be entered after it completes this task.

POSSIBLE BOARD OF COMMISSIONER ACTIONS:

- 1. VALIDATE the existing portion of Road No. 20 (Sunny Slopes Road) in the Northwest Quarter of Section 34 IS a highway district public right-of-way with a width of 50 feet.
- 2. VALIDATE the existing portion of Road No. 20 (Sunny Slopes Road) in the Northwest Quarter of Section 34 IS NOT a highway district public right-of-way with a width of 50 feet.
- VALIDATE the portion of Road No. 20 (Sunny Slopes Road) in the Northeast Quarter of Section 34 IS public right-of-way.
- 4. VALIDATE the portion of Road No. 20 (Sunny Slopes Road) in the Northeast Quarter of Section 34 IS NOT public right of way.
- 5. CONTINUE to a future public hearing with reasons given for the continuance. *Decision Points for the Board:*
- A. Do any of the facts presented at the remand hearing require additional or different findings of facts or conclusions of law regarding the prior validation of the existing gravel road in the Northwest Quarter of Section 34 as a public highway?
- B. Do any of the facts presented at the remand hearing require additional or different findings of facts or conclusions of law regarding the prior validation of the right of way in the Northeast Quarter of Section 34 as a public highway?

ADDITIONS TO THE RECORD:

Exhibit O – Augmentation to the Record by Richel Family Trust containing 11 Exhibits, including subparts

Exhibit P - Augmentation to the record by Worley Highway Districting containing 5 maps

- Worley State Line System of Highways Across Indian Lands
- Kootenai County map copyrighted 1937
- · U.S. Dept. of Interior National Park Service map
- Lake Coeur d'Alene Kootenai County Idaho 1909
- · Plat showing roads of the Plummer Highway District that are located in Kootenai County, Idaho

Supervisor Howard: Good Morning to everyone. I wish to bring to the attention of the Board and on record that I have for years worked with both Jeanne Buell and the Richel family in a private capacity prior to the petition of abandonment. Our District Clerk, Carol Richel, is a relative to the Richel family. Attorney Susan Weeks was requested to prepare a private easement when the District was informed that both Don Richel and Jeanne Buell had resolved the matter through a private easement agreement and a joint request for an abandonment of the public right of way. Moving on, the Board has been provided a copy of the supplemental staff report. The purpose of today's hearing on remand is for the Board to take additional testimony as directed by the District Judge. That includes some additional maps I submitted. Following the first hearing in this matter, I discovered four additional maps that address this road. After I wrote this I found a fifth map. Three of them I discovered while at Kootenai County researching their records for information pertaining to Kioth Road. The fourth I discovered while visiting with East Side Highway District's Road Supervisor, John Pankratz, at his office. The exhibit P in the packet contains the four maps. The first map I will discuss is entitled Worley State Line System of Highways across Indian Lands. The date it was prepared is unknown. It depicts Road No. 20 consistent with the prior maps that the Board was provided in the first hearing. The second is a U.S. Dept. of the Interior National Park Service map prepared in cooperation with Idaho Dept. of Public Works. It appears to have been prepared in relation to a boundary expansion for Heyburn State Park to include Shoeffler's Butte. It has no date, but the Metsker Map from 1939 provided by the Richel Family Trust shows this land owned by the Idaho Dept. of Parks and Recreation, so the map is likely prior to that date, perhaps in the era of 1934 when CCC improved Heyburn State Park. The third is Kootenai County map copyrighted 1937. Again, this map shows Road No. 20 as an existing road. The final map is a Lake Coeur d'Alene Kootenai County Idaho map dated 1909, John Pankratz had this map mounted in his office, and it was in the records of East Side Highway District. It also shows Road No. 20 prior to it being declared a public road. Today there is an improved road across the NW Quarter of Section 34. It does not follow the exact alignment of the original Road No. 20. A curve which was the original road has been straightened and the road now follows the section line. The road is improved stabilized gravel and has been maintained by the district since its inception in 1971. The public regularly travels the road. Because this portion of the road has been use by the public for travel and maintained by the District for travel for a period far in excess of the required five years, I would recommend validation of this portion of the road. Today, there is no improved maintained road across the NE quarter of Section 34. The testimony on remand appears to be directed to this matter. The Board will hear testimony today it is to consider. After considering the additional testimony, the Board will determine if additional findings of facts and conclusions of law are needed: if the findings and conclusions it previously entered need altered, or if they remain the same.

Attorney Weeks: Mr. Howard did you have anything you wanted to discuss concerning the new map added to the packet?

Supervisor Howard: The new map is a survey plat map. It was necessary to copy three sheets to cover the entire map. The Plummer Highway District is indicated on it. You will see on the map, on the far east end, it indicates IP, initial point for survey and turning points. Each of those circles within the line indicates a turning point for survey on this plat map. My experience tells me that the stationing, at this period of time, was not called out as 100 ft. mark, as it is today. Stationing was called out as turning points. So a turning point could be different in distance. Looking at that, the Release for Damages in

Page 5

Deed that was signed to Plummer Highway District indicates station through the Danforth property, station 1 through station 12, indicating 12 turning points across that portion of his property.

Commissioner Mangan: So you are saying that station 1 through 12+ would go from the boundary Danforth property and Heyburn Park to boundary of Danforth property and Briggs property.

Supervisor Howard: Yes, that would be correct. Also of interest is that the initial point began at the Heyburn State Park boundary and worked its way out.

Attorney Weeks: Does the Board have any questions it would like to ask Mr. Howard?

No further questions were presented.

Attorney Weeks: Commissioners in your packet is Exhibit "O", which are the materials the Richel Family Trust requested be included in the remand hearing. Mr. Poorman, would you like to proceed at this time with the presentation of the Richel Family Trust's evidence.

Attorney Weeks: For the record, Mr. Poorman is presenting a Power Point presentation. This is entered as exhibit R.

Attorney Poorman thanked the Commissioners for accommodating Mr. Richel's health issues and rescheduling this meeting. He then proceeded with the Power Point presentation for the Richel Family Trust. Mr. Poorman questioned the new map that was introduced into the proceedings and if there was an exhibit number.

Attorney Weeks: We have included in the materials as exhibit P. It is a fifth map in exhibit P.

Attorney Poorman: This new map, exhibit P, was not part of the District's motion to augment the record, I did not stipulate to its addition into the record and Judge Christensen's order did not include this map. We are <u>objecting</u> to its admission into the record. We request that the Commissioners disregard the map and ask it not be in the record.

Mangan acknowledged the objection. He moved that the objection be noted and that the map be accepted into the record and be considered.

George Miller MOVED to approve the motion as presented. Dave Johnson SECONDED the motion. James Mangan CONCURRED. The motion PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Attorney Poorman: Requested Don Richel to come forward and answer some questions concerning the Richel Family farm and their history.

Attorney Weeks: For the record, Mr. Poorman, the witness, is utilizing the Power Point to illustrate his testimony.

Attorney Poorman continued his question and answer with Don Richel.

He admitted into record, exhibit O, to maintain chain of Title.

Attorney Poorman requested Don Richel to point out on a map, for the Commissioners, a road.

Attorney Weeks: For the record the photographs being utilized are in exhibit O, exhibit 8.

Attorney Poorman continued with Mr. Richel.

Commissioner Mangan asked if road indicated was called Malone Road. Mr. Richel said it was not named at all. Mangan asked if Sunny Slopes was called anything. Mr. Richel said that the roads were not really called anything. They were just farm to market roads.

Attorney Weeks: Thank you Mr. Poorman. Does the Board have any questions for Mr. Poorman or his witness? Mangan asked, was all of the NE ¼ timber? Mr. Richel replied, All of the Sunny Slopes was timbered in 1910. What you see now has all been cleared. Mangan also enquired about ownership of parcels. Mr. Richel clarified the ownerships and timeframe.

Attorney Davis requested an opportunity to cross examine the witness. Ms. Weeks stated she did not think that was in the scope of the hearing and declined his request.

Poorman continued with his Power Point presentation.

Attorney Weeks: Thank you Mr. Poorman. Does the Board have any questions for Mr. Poorman or his witnesses? Mangan asked a question concerning the last Metsker map utilized. The northern road branching off the east/west road at Schieche boundary was known as Road 23 or Malone Road?

Poorman stated that was Mr. Richel's testimony. Mangan questioned that the portion of branched road could not continue to be Road 20, but would be known as a separate road number or name. Attorney Weeks: Thank you Mr. Poorman. Mr. Davis, did you or Ms. Buell have any testimony you desired to present in light of the testimony received by the Board today?

Attorney Davis: We want to recount for the Board that Ms. Buell was told by Mr. Richel that the old existing road across this section was torn up due to lack of use. This was reiterated by Mr. Richel's sister. Also, taking the totality of maps it is compelling evidence that this road did exist. To say that the road did not exist based on the inconsistency of the maps is purely speculation. It is evident that the court will give credence to the Deeds in the record. The statement that this road would only serve one property owner is incomplete. This property could, in the future, be platted out to several parcels. Public policy supports declaring this a right of way and serves the public interest in that if you take away the right of the individual's access to property, land locking, you can take away anyone's right to access property. Ms. Buell did make an effort to access her property utilizing an agreement with adjoining property owner.

Attorney Weeks: Does the Board have any questions for Mr. Davis?

The Board has no questions.

Ms. Weeks: Mr. Poorman would you provide a copy of the Power Point presentation to put in the record. We will mark that as exhibit R. We will forward that to Attorney Davis.

Attorney Weeks turned the meeting to Commissioner Mangan for deliberation.

Mangan moved to take a recess and cautioned the Commissioners to not talk of the hearing during the break.

Break – Mangan recessed the Hearing at 10:34 a.m. Reconvened – Mangan reconvened the Hearing at 10:46 a.m.

Mangan opened the Hearing to deliberations. One point, that the Right of Way being debated would only serve one property. We have roads in district that support one property. It would be strange to not have roads that support single properties. Not uncommon. Keep in mind we are trying to shed light on actions taken 100 years ago. It is a situation where things change, some records have still not been found. We want to acknowledge the effort made by our staff for the amount of work in obtaining the documents to shed light on this issue. The issue is, was a public Right of Way established? That is different than was a road maintained. A public ROW can be established and not maintained. It continues to exist. Was there a public ROW established? We don't have the documents for 100 percent certainty. But there is a pattern of creating, establishing a road, what would follow is a survey, a release of damages, sale for \$1. County would approve and there would be a public ROW. By Constitution of the State of Idaho, Highway Commissioners have the same authority as County Commissioners. We do know that there are a series of maps showing road 20 extending eastward through Sec. 34. Over a period of 100 years would cartographers plot something that did not exist? Any road you can access is a highway. We have the action of 1913 Plummer Highway District granting the petition that a highway be allowed. We have the 1914 Release of Damages, deed to ROW. This is similar to all roads established in the district. We do not have the Surveyor's Report. We do have turning points. Boundary line was on park property. Keep in mind Metsker map showing a separate road indicates Road 23 or Malone Road and the continued layout of the road on many maps presented show road 20 indicates a preponderance of evidence. Cartographers indicate that something was there. Mangan did not agree that it is reasonable to assume that access to Buell property could be obtained through a private road coming from the south. It is evidenced that something was plowed down on Richel property.

Commissioner Johnson added, even though the road does not exist now, testimony was given that a road was plowed down. Deeds show that a ROW was given and the maps presented show a road. Commissioner Miller stated that they possibly started at Park boundary indicating the end of road back to section line.

Page 7

Commissioner Mangan said they were ready to conclude. They would go through the facts and findings and requested copies of the "findings of fact and conclusions".

Ms. Weeks requested the meeting go off the record for a moment to obtain the copies.

Assistant Supervisor Dustin brought the copies in and the meeting resumed on record.

Commissioner Mangan continued, the Board will review its previous findings of facts and conclusions of law and determine if anything they have heard alters these previous findings.

The Board continued to review the findings of facts and conclusions of law.

Attorney Weeks suggested that District staff be directed to type up the new Findings and Conclusions consistent with comments today and be brought back to the Board at the next meeting for signatures from the Board.

Commissioner Mangan: Okay, so directed.

Attorney Weeks: The written findings will be included and will be binding when it is in writing and signed by the Board. I would ask the Board to make a motion approving the language.

Commissioner Johnson indicated that this was his last meeting; how will that affect the signing today? Can the new Commissioner choose to sign the conclusion?

Attorney Weeks clarified that yes, the incoming Commissioner can sign the conclusion. If you vote to approve the amended findings as presented by the Chairman, the new Commissioner, who is in the audience today, can sign the concluded findings.

Commissioner Mangan: We have gone through the findings of fact and conclusions. If nothing is added I entertain the motion to approve the findings of fact and conclusions as we have just drafted.

George Miller MOVED to approve the motion as presented. Dave Johnson SECONDED the motion. James Mangan CONCURRED. The motion PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Attorney Weeks: Mr. Chairman, we have fulfilled what the court has directed. I would like to put on the record that this Public Hearing has lasted from 9 AM to 11:40 AM, so the court can consider the amount of time invested in this hearing.

Adjourned: Hearing was adjourned at 11:41 am.

Reconvened: Commissioners Meeting was reconvened at 11:50 am.

Public Comments

None.

Old Business

Finnebott, Bennion, and SunUp Intersections-Status Report

Howard explained he had no further update since the last discussion with JUB. It is now on the back burner. Mangan asked where we are with FHWA. Howard stated that we have preliminary approval for funding on both projects. If the district pulls the crew away from maintenance to do work on those projects regular maintenance will be left undone. District is looking to contract the work out. He continued with explanation of timing and funding. Mangan enquired what we are waiting for. Howard answered that we are ready to go July 1, 2017. Johnson asked, do we have a feel about contractors being available? Howard is concerned about that. He is working on what set of rules we must follow to proceed. Johnson added, according to rumor the bids are coming in high due to how busy the contractors are. Howard stated that a few contractors have enquired and we will reach out to them first.

Independence Day Holiday Schedule

Howard indicated the crew is favorable to having the change to the holiday work schedule.

(The District employees will take Monday, the 3rd of July off in exchange for working on Friday, the 7th of July, which is normally a day off. This will provide the employees a 5 day time period to celebrate Independence Day.)

George Miller MOVED to approve the change in the Holiday Schedule as presented. Dave Johnson SECONDED the motion. James Mangan CONCURRED. The motion PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Update Capital Improvement Projects

Mangan, "I would entertain a motion to remove all completed projects from the CIP at the end of each fiscal year."

Mangan wants to continue to place the completed projects on the website. We want to show the public the progress the Highway District is making.

George Miller MOVED to approve the motion as presented. Dave Johnson SECONDED the motion. James Mangan CONCURRED. The motion PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

New Business

RON WELLS - BOAT STORAGE AREA ON WATSON ROAD

Ron owns a house on Shorecrest Road, many know that it was Woodland Shores Rd., which are 2 separate loops. The Fire District required the road name change to accommodate the identification of addresses. There is limited space for parking in this area. As the neighborhood has grown, boat trailers have been left in ROW for extended periods of time. Property owners have been parking out on the road. The law has not been enforced to remove illegally parked vehicles. I have attempted to provide myself with extra parking and the county has denied my request. I am requesting that the laws be enforced and remove illegally parked vehicles. Vehicles in right of way are causing difficulties and possibly prohibiting emergency vehicles access to area. Mr. Howard agreed with the request. Boat trailers that are left beyond allowed time should be brought to law enforcement attention. Leaving trailers beyond 48 hours is against ordinance. Assistant Supervisor Howe informed the Commissioners that some property owners have been given exception to the law during winter months. Commissioner Miller added that he would be upset if someone parked vehicles in front of his property on an extended time. Commissioner Johnson added that HOA's will prohibit parking on an access road. Mangan asked if the district passes a Resolution to restrict parking on ROW will law enforcement have right to remove vehicles? Howard stated that Attorney Weeks could be asked. Discussion continued concerning property owners need for places to park their extra vehicles. Mr. Wells discussed the difficulty for property owners that have other people's vehicles in front of their properties. Mr. Wells acknowledged the many miles of road the district is responsible for. Should the local property owners begin to call law enforcement to have notice given or tow the vehicles that are left beyond the allowed time frame? Mr. Howard indicated that law enforcement can be called. He will contact KC Sheriff's Department to bring this to their attention.

Mr. Wells left at 12:15 PM.

III-A WELLNESS PROGRAM

Supervisor Howard explained that there was concern with the letter in the Insurance packet stating that the Board may give compensation for employees who participate in program. Mr. Howard brought Carol Richel in for clarification.

Clerk Richel entered meeting at 12:15 AM.

Carol explained the brochures that were sent out indicated that the Board may compensate employees who participate in program. When the representative was at the last meeting it was not presented that

Page 9

the District would compensate the employees for participation. Carol felt the brochure needs to be clarified. Mangan said the incentive is good health. The employees should be encouraged to good health practices; however, the District probably does not need to provide further compensation. Supervisor Howard asked if this would reflect in anyway on insurance premiums. Carol did not think it would affect our premiums. Mangan stated that no action will be taken at this time. Carol stated she will not send out the brochures.

Carol left at 12:30 pm.

WORK PROGRESS REPORT by Supervisor Howard

ON THE ROAD

The Magnesium-Chloride application for road stabilization on the district's graveled roads was completed.

The "Summer Use Only" roads were graded. These roads are primarily dirt roads with very little gravel.

The roadsides and the district yards were sprayed for noxious weeds.

Mangan asked if the entire district treatment has been accomplished. Assistant Supervisor Dustin explained the 3 phases of application. Each phase will treat certain gROWth. Commissioner Elect, Corinne asked how this is accomplished. Howard explained.

A culvert was replaced on Conkling Park Drive and others cleaned on Roecks and Senkler.

The first application of Bituminous Surface Treatment (BST) was applied to Carnie Road.

The crew began district wide Hot-Mix asphalt patching this month. However, an emphasis was placed on roads that will receive a seal coat this year and next.

The roadside and other facility mowing began this month. The crew gave ample time for the herbicides to take effect before they began.

Staff and crew have been working with FEMA and LHTAC in planning repairs to district roadways. The damage was caused by storms that happened late winter that later were DECLARED a disaster

Traffic counts have been collected this month.

IN THE SHOPS

Pickups

#65 - Serviced

#85 - Serviced.

#95 - Serviced

Trucks

#105 - Repaired sticking brake pedal.

#145 – The building of this new truck to become a snowplow has begun.

#165 - Repairs were made to the alternator bracket.

#185 – Began the needed alterations to the new sander body for #185.

#190 - Replaced rear brakes and rear leaf springs.

JUNE 28, 2017

Page 10

#225 - Replace the liquid level gauge on the tank.

#235 – Replaced the CB antenna, serviced the A/C, replaced the cab air filter and repaired the brake lights.

#255 - Replaced the driveline.

#270 - Replaced the CB radio.

Road Grader

#305 - Replaced a step into the cab.

Loader

#445 – Replaced the front pins on the hydraulic steering cylinders.

Tractors

#580 - Serviced mower and install deck on the tractor. Repairs to the charging system were made.

#585 - Serviced the hydraulic motor and serviced the A/C.

#595 - Rebuilt the skid on the mower deck. Repairs were made to a "non-start" issue.

Trailer

#615 - Replaced a flat tire.

Misc.

#740 – Broom – Replaced mandrel and bristles on the broom head.

#765 - 3" Mag pump - Replaced the pump case.

#805 - Chip Spreader - Replace a roller on one of the feed belts.

#820 - Asphalt Paver - Replaced the diesel spray pump

Planned work for this coming Month

- Repairs to the road shoulders.
- Seal coats on asphalt roads.
- Hot Mix patching of paved roads.

Bills presented for approval

Commissioner Miller asked where are we with crushing? Howard explained the status of the chips and the washing station. This is the final bill. The chips are within a ton or two of bid. We are stockpiling in 4 places.

Miller MOVED that the bills be approved as presented on the check registers. Johnson SECONDED the motion. Mangan CONCURRED. The motion PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Upcoming Meetings

The district's next regular meeting will be July 12, 2017.

Commissioners Comments

Commissioner Johnson stated how wonderful it has been to be Commissioner of SubDistrict 2. Mangan extended the District's gratitude for his willingness to step in after previous vacancy. The District appreciates all he has done. He is always welcome to come back for donuts at the upcoming meetings. Mangan extended a welcome to Commissioner Elect. Corinne Johnson.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
JUNE 28, 2017
Page 11

Adjournment
Mangan adjourned the meeting at 12:43PM.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:

Susan Kuykendall, Deputy Clerk

APPROVED BY:

James Mangan
James Mangan, Anairman

July 12, 2017
Date